

MARIJUANA POISONING

Kevin T. Fitzgerald, PhD, DVM, DABVP
Staff Veterinarian
VCA Alameda East Veterinary Hospital
Denver, Colorado, USA

Alvin C. Bronstein, MD, FACEP
Medical Director
Rocky Mountain Poison and Drug Center
Denver, Colorado, USA
Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine
University of Colorado School of Medicine
Denver, Colorado, USA

Kristin L. Newquist, BS, AAS, CVT
General Practice Technician
VCA Alameda East Veterinary Hospital
Denver, Colorado, USA

ABSTRACT

The plant *Cannabis sativa* has been used for centuries for the effects of its psychoactive resins. The term “marijuana” typically refers to tobacco-like preparations of the leaves and flowers. The plant contains more than 400 chemicals but the cannabinoid delta-nine-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) is the major psychoactive constituent. “Hashish” is the resin extracted from the tops of flowering plants and generally has a much higher THC concentration. Marijuana is the most commonly used illicit drug in the United States. Currently, several states have passed legislation to decriminalize possession of small amounts of marijuana for both medical and personal use and several other states have similar legislation under construction. The most common form of marijuana use in humans is inhalation of the smoke of marijuana cigarettes, followed by ingestion. In animals, although second hand smoke inhalation is possible, the most common source of exposure is through ingestion of the owners marijuana supply. The minimum lethal oral dose for dogs for THC is above 3 grams/kg. Although the drug has a high margin of safety, deaths have been seen after ingestion of food products containing the more concentrated medical grade THC butter.

There are two specific cannabinoid receptors in humans and dogs, CB₁ (primarily in central nervous system) and CB₂ (peripheral tissues). In animals following oral ingestion, clinical effects begin within 60 minutes. All of the neuro-pharmacologic mechanisms by which cannabinoids produce psychoactive effects have not been identified. However, CB₁ activity is believed responsible for the majority of cannabinoid clinical effects. Highly lipid soluble, THC is distributed in fat, liver, brain, and renal tissue. Fifteen percent of THC is excreted into the urine and the rest is eliminated in the feces through biliary excretion. Clinical signs of canine intoxication include depression, hypersalivation, mydriasis, hypermetria, vomiting, urinary incontinence, tremors, hypothermia, and bradycardia. Higher dosages may additionally cause nystagmus, agitation, tachypnea, tachycardia, ataxia, hyperexcitability, and seizures.

Treatment of marijuana ingestion in animals is largely supportive. Vital signs including temperature and heart rate and rhythm must be continually monitored. Stomach content and urine can be tested for cannabinoids. Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry can be utilized for THC detection but usually may take several days and are not practical for initiation of therapy. Human urine drug screening tests can be unreliable for confirmation of marijuana toxicosis in dogs due to the interference of a large number of the metabolites in canine urine. False negatives may also occur if testing occurs too recently following THC ingestion. Thus, the use of human urine drug screening tests in dogs remains controversial. No specific antidote presently exists for THC poisoning. Sedation with benzodiazepines may be necessary if dogs are severely agitated. Intravenous fluids may be employed to counter prolonged vomiting and to help control body temperature. Recently, the use of intralipid therapy to bind the highly lipophilic THC has been utilized to help reduce clinical signs. The majority of dogs experiencing intoxication after marijuana ingestion recover completely without sequelae. Differential diagnoses of canine THC toxicosis include human pharmaceuticals with CNS stimulatory effects, drugs with CNS depressant effects, macrolide parasitocides, xylitol, and hallucinogenic mushrooms.

INTRODUCTION

For centuries, marijuana has been used both as a psychoactive intoxicant and for its hemp fiber used in rope.¹ In the United States, mention of the use of marijuana as an intoxicant can be found in the popular literature starting in the 1850's. By the 1930's, the US Federal Bureau of Narcotics began to characterize marijuana as harmful and addictive. Marijuana was listed as a Schedule I drug (high potential for abuse without any recognized medical value or purpose) by the Controlled Substances Act in 1970.¹

For the last 40 years, the decriminalization and legalization of certain types of marijuana use has been a highly controversial topic. In addition, marijuana has been reported to be effective in the treatment of a variety of medical conditions.^{2,3} Despite a nation-wide ban on its growth, sale, and utilization, US marijuana consumption has sky-rocketed since the 1960's. At the present time, marijuana is the most commonly used illicit drug in the US.^{1,4} In one study, 40% of Americans over 12 years old admitted that they had tried the drug at least once.¹

During the last 3 decades, public opinion regarding prosecution for possession of small amounts of marijuana for personal use has changed dramatically. Although for most parts of the country, possession of any marijuana is illegal and federal law bans the drug, some states such as Arizona, California, Colorado, and Wisconsin have allowed the medicinal use of marijuana under certain circumstances with more states expected to follow suit. Nevertheless, Arizona, in 1997, passed legislation nullifying a physician's right to prescribe Schedule I substances (such as marijuana) without federal approval. In Colorado in the general election of 2000, an amendment passed legalizing the sale and possession of marijuana for medical use. By 2010, there were 717 licensed medical marijuana dispensaries and 106,000 registered medical marijuana users in the state of Colorado.^{5,6} In 2012, legislation passed in Colorado and Washington State decriminalizing the possession of small amounts of marijuana for personal use. Similar legislation in other states is expected. Dogs and cats are very susceptible to marijuana exposure but dogs are much more often affected. Marijuana poisoning in dogs results from inhalation of second hand smoke; ingestion of the seeds, stems, leaves, and flowers; ingestions of products made from marijuana leaves (cookies, suckers, brownies, teas, etc.); and ingestion of products made with concentrated tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) or hashish oil. Due to the changes with regard to the legal status of marijuana making it more readily accessible, an increase in the number of accidental intoxications of pets (especially dogs) can be expected.

SOURCES

The plant *Cannabis sativa* is the source of marijuana. It has been used historically not only for its psychoactive resin but also for hemp fiber.^{1,4} Cannabis was cultivated by the early North American colonists for use in making hemp ropes. "Marijuana" refers to any part of the plant but generally it has come to refer to the dried tobacco-like preparations of the leaves and flowers.^{1,4,7} Marijuana in its raw form is the dried and chopped stems, leaves, and seeds of the plant. *Cannabis sativa* plants produce more than 60 chemical substances called cannabinoids.^{8,9} The major psychoactive constituent in the plant is the cannabinoid delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC).⁴ The only other cannabinoids in marijuana shown to produce psychoactive effects are cannabinal and cannabidiol with less than 10 times the potency of THC.^{1,4} The THC content in marijuana can range from 0.4% to almost 20% depending upon the cultivation techniques (amount of light, moisture, soil type, soil pH, nutrients, elements, and fertilizers provided).^{1,4,9} Hashish is made from the resin collected from the tops of flowering plants and often has THC levels that exceed 10%.^{1,4,9} Hash oil contains much more concentrated THC with values often reaching 20% or even higher. A typical marijuana cigarette (a "joint") generally contains 500 to

1000mg of crude plant material and 15 to 30mg of THC (with an average 3% THC content).^{4,10} Most commonly in humans, exposure occurs through inhalation of marijuana, smoke from cigarettes (“joints”) or modified pipes (“bongs”). It may be ingested in brownies, cookies, candy, and food products. Many of these food items are now available in the licensed medical marijuana dispensaries and sold to registered medical marijuana patients. Marijuana is known by a variety of street names, “grass”, “weed”, “hemp”, “reefer”, “pot”, “herb”, “MJ”, and “Mary Jane”. “Sinsemilla” is seedless marijuana with a fairly high THC content. Sinsemilla marijuana accounts for 85% of domestic production in the US.¹¹ By 2010, a variety of synthetic cannabinoids had appeared upon the scene. Initially marketed as an herbal incense and sold in gas stations, head shops, and tattoo parlors, these potent synthetic cannabinoids had names like JWH-11 and others, “Spice”, “K2”, “Skunk”, “Wild Greens”, “Head Trip”, “Purple Haze”, and “Zombie Matter”.⁹ Smoking these incenses produced more severe effects than traditional marijuana although the products were clearly marked “not for human consumption”. The paranoia, hallucinations, tremors, seizures, injury, and death caused by these substances resulted in many formulations being banned with the passage of the Synthetic Drug Control Act in 2011.¹²

Although second-hand smoke exposure is possible, the main route of animal marijuana exposure is through ingestion of the owner’s supply (“stash”).^{6,10} While smokers of marijuana can control their level of intoxication by how much they smoke, how often they inhale, and since the effects of the active ingredient is more rapidly achieved, oral ingestion of THC is much more insidious. The drug is baked inside food products and ingested, usually knowingly (humans), and for the most part unintentionally (in animals). Unlike inhalation, psychoactive effects following ingestion are not immediate.^{1,4,6,9} Peak brain levels of THC may not be achieved for a few hours but may last longer than through inhalation.⁴ Thus the person or animal ingesting marijuana cannot control the level or length of the intoxication and this makes it difficult for medical providers.

More and more, dogs through their ingestions of marijuana products are becoming exposed to baked goods made with medical grade THC butter. This is made by boiling parts of the plant to extract the highly lipophilic THC.^{6,9} Butter is then added to absorb the THC and allow the psychoactive agent to infuse into the butter. Then the butter, sautéed in THC and with the plant material strained out, can be used to make food items free of the crunchy taste of the plant and very high in THC. The butter can achieve THC concentrations higher than the plant. Although the margin of safety following marijuana ingestion in animals has always been documented to be very high, recently two deaths have been reported in dogs after eating foods containing THC butter.⁶

The cannabinoids have been proposed and championed for a variety of medical conditions, most notably glaucoma and arthritis.^{2,3} Currently, they are only approved for control of chemotherapy related vomiting and nausea, appetite stimulation in HIV patients with anorexia-cachexia syndrome, some glaucoma patients, and for patients with multiple sclerosis. For these conditions, purified THC analogues are available and prescribed.^{1,9,11} Dronabinol (Marinol), pure synthetic THC and a Schedule III drug, and Nabilone (Cesamet), a synthetic cannabinoid and a Schedule II drug, are routinely prescribed for certain human medical conditions. Sativex® (not marketed in the US) is a mouth spray for multiple sclerosis (MS) patients used to treat neuropathic pain, spasticity, and overactive bladder that contains tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol. Medical use of marijuana and its constituent real and synthetic cannabinoids remains controversial. The claims of the benefits of THC in the treatment of a wide array of other medical conditions has not been supported by robust clinical evidence.¹ Table 1 shows a list of human conditions proposed to be helped by cannabinoids

TOXIC DOSE

THC has a wide safety margin in dogs with the minimum lethal oral dose greater than 3g/kg.¹³ This dose is 1000 times the dosage where behavioral effects are observed. Nevertheless, providing a true toxic dose for THC in mg/kg proves difficult since the degree of purity for marijuana varies so greatly and also depends upon the route of exposure. It should be pointed out that medical grade THC butter used in baked goods may have a higher concentration of THC than of marijuana alone.⁶

TOXICOKINETICS AND MECHANISM OF TOXICITY

Almost all effects of a single exposure to marijuana (like most animals experience), can be predicted by dose.⁴ THC is absorbed readily when smoked. Oral ingestion produces similar pharmacologic effects, but the absorption after ingestion is slower and more erratic than smoking.^{1,4,6,9} The onset of psychoactive effects following cannabis ingestion is unpredictable when compared to smoking. Also, the effects may last longer after oral ingestion.⁴ Oral absorption of THC can be increased with the ingestion of fatty foods.⁹ In dogs following THC ingestion, the onset of effects usually begins within 60 minutes.^{6,9} THC is highly lipid soluble and is distributed into fat, liver, brain, and kidney.^{1,4,6,9,14} The majority of THC is metabolized by the liver with THC converted to the primary metabolite, 11-hydroxy-delta-9-THC.¹⁵ THC and its metabolites are excreted in the urine and feces. Enterohepatic recirculation is a prominent feature of marijuana metabolism.¹ Following ingestion, 15% of THC is excreted in the urine and the remainder in feces through biliary excretion.⁴ Adipose storage produces a biological half-life for THC of approximately 30 hours.¹⁶ In dogs, 80% of THC is excreted from the body in about 5 days (approximately 5 half-lives).¹⁴

Two specific cannabinoid receptors have been identified; CB₁ and CB₂.^{1,17} CB₁ receptors are distributed throughout the brain, particularly in the basal ganglia, substantia nigra, globus pallidus, hippocampus cerebellum, and frontal regions of the cerebral cortex. CB₂ receptors are found peripherally and it is not detected in the CNS. This may give THC a potentially analgesic effect.¹ The CB₂ receptors are found peripherally in splenic macrophages, peripheral nerve terminals, and the vas deferens. The CB₂ receptors are also found in the tonsils and thymus gland. Peripheral CB₂ receptors may play a role in mediating release of cytokines. In addition, recent studies have identified cannabinoid receptor ligands as well as cannabinoid receptor agonists and antagonists.¹⁸ Both receptors inhibit adenylyl cyclase and stimulate potassium channel conductance.¹ CB₁ receptors are found on the presynaptic side of central nervous system synapses and once activated they inhibit of acetylcholine, L-glutamate, gamma-aminobutyric acid, noradrenaline, dopamine, and serotonin. CB₂ receptors are believed to be involved in the regulation of immune system responses and inflammation.¹

The precise effect that THC and the cannabinoids have upon the nervous system causing the well-known marijuana toxidrome remains unknown. Nonetheless, activity at CB₁ receptors is thought to be the cause of all the clinical effects of THC.^{18,19} In humans, these effects are interruption of cognition and memory, disrupted motor activities, and regulation of nociception, nausea, and vomiting.^{1,20} In addition to neurologic effects, ingestion of large amounts of plant material may irritate the gastrointestinal tract and cause vomiting. One dog that presented to our practice had swallowed a plastic baggie full of marijuana which caused a gastrointestinal foreign body obstruction requiring surgical intervention.

CLINICAL SIGNS

The various effects of THC exposure, including time of onset, duration of effect, and severity of clinical signs, depends upon the dose and the route of administration of the drug. In dogs, clinical signs include ataxia and incoordination, hypersalivation, depression, disorientation, hypothermia, mydriasis, bradycardia, vomiting, and tremors.^{6,9,10,14} In one study, nearly half of the dogs displayed urinary incontinence.⁶ The authors postulated that dogs exposed to medical grade marijuana may have a higher incidence of urinary incontinence on account of active THC metabolites. Signs may vary with dosage, size and age of the dog, and underlying medical conditions. Other signs that can be seen with marijuana ingestion in dogs are stupor, nystagmus, apprehension, vocalization, hyperexcitability, tachypnea, tachycardia, and hyperthermia.¹⁴ Occasionally, dogs may present completely obtunded and comatose. In a recent retrospective study, ataxia and depression were the most common clinical findings at presentation for dogs with THC poisoning.⁶ Also, 48% of dogs presented following marijuana ingestion displayed mydriasis.⁶ Cardiovascular effects produced by THC exposure have been well-documented in humans and in dogs. A sinus tachycardia is often seen in dogs upon an electrocardiographic study following THC ingestion.^{1,4,6,9,14} Higher dosages have been shown to be capable of causing bradycardia and hypotension.¹⁴ No long term cardiovascular effects have been described following acute cannabis ingestion.^{9,14} For dogs, onset of clinical signs usually occur within 1 to 2 hours of exposure.^{6,9,14} Again, for canines the duration of clinical signs can range from 1 to 3 days with 24 hours being the average time for signs to persist.^{9,14} Dogs may also show hyperesthesia with heightened sensitivity to motion, light, and sound.⁶

MINIMUM DATA BASE

Although THC intoxication is not reflected in either a complete blood count or a biochemical blood panel, blood should be drawn in marijuana suspects to rule out other causes for the clinical signs or the presence of concurrent medical conditions. Body temperature and heart rate and rhythm must be continually monitored during the course of therapy.^{9,14}

CONFIRMATORY TESTS AND DIAGNOSTICS

Medical history taking is an essential skill. For a variety of reasons, owners may give histories that are inaccurate, unreliable, and sometimes purposely deceitful. Owners may deliberately falsify a history due to fear of legal repercussions and potential grounds for prosecution.²¹ Nowhere is there greater potential for an untruthful history as in the case of an animal's ingestion of an illicit drug. Veterinary clinicians must gain the confidence of the client quickly in order to obtain a valid history.

Stomach contents can be sampled for cannabinoids.^{1,4,14} A relationship with a reliable diagnostic laboratory is encouraged and consultation with a toxicologist or a diagnostic toxicology laboratory is recommended before sample collection and submission of any specimens. Urine can be tested for the presence of cannabinoids.^{1,4,9,14} Due to their lipophilic nature and enterohepatic recirculation, THC can be detected in the urine for several days following acute ingestion.¹⁷ The use of human urine drug screening test has been brought into serious question by a recent retrospective study of THC toxicosis in dogs.⁶ One type of qualitative urine drug screening test is a 5 channel urine dip stick with a colorimetric bar and a control. It was designed for humans to test for illicit drugs. In the retrospective study, numerous dogs known to have ingested marijuana had negative urine drug screen tests. It was suggested that these false negatives occurred if testing was too recent after exposure.⁶ It was also

postulated that these false negatives occurred and the test was not effective due to the large number of THC metabolites in dog urine. This altered metabolite in dog urine may produce false negatives when using a human urine drug screen. Finally, it was pointed out that samples tested for THC must be handled appropriately since THC can bind to rubber stoppers and glass giving false negative results.^{1,6,9} The use of human urine drug screening tests in dogs remains controversial. The findings of the retrospective study suggest that the human urine drug screen test may be unreliable in dogs and only helpful if the test is positive.⁶ Furthermore, various human urine drug screen tests are available and these may vary in specificity and sensitivity.⁶

Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry is also used in humans to detect marijuana but it may take several days to perform and obtain results.¹ This is not helpful in directing appropriate therapy. Also, the use of this test in dogs has been reported to be of questionable value. Likewise, invalid results have been obtained using ELISA testing.⁶ At this time, there is no single scientific laboratory test (ELISA, gas chromatography, liquid chromatography, mass spectrometry) that reliably detects THC in the urine of dogs.^{6,9,14} As a result, interpretation of dip-stick human urine drug screening tests must be made with caution. Until a reproducible and reliable laboratory test is developed that can consistently detect THC in dog urine, no cage side tests can be validated. Obtaining a urine drug screen is no substitute for a thorough history, physical exam, documentation of a minimum data base, and establishing a list of differential diagnoses. These components remain essential to confirming a diagnosis of marijuana intoxication.

TREATMENT

There is no specific antidote for cannabis.^{1,4,9,14} Emesis may be unrewarding; THC has been shown to have a significant anti-emetic effect.²² Emesis can be initiated if the ingestion was recent (within the last 2 hours) but should never be employed if signs of CNS stimulation are present, if the animal is severely agitated, or if the animal is severely depressed or unresponsive. Treatment objectives in cases of marijuana toxicosis are prevention of further absorption and supportive care. Activated charcoal may be given to reduce absorption and THC half-life by blocking enterohepatic recirculation.^{9,14} Just as emesis must be undertaken judiciously, administration of activated charcoal must be prudent and not given if the animal is somnolent, dramatically agitated, or showing severe anxiety. Charcoal aspiration can turn a minor exposure into severe morbidity or mortality. The risk of aspiration due to emesis or activated charcoal administration must not outweigh the benefit of the intervention. For the majority of cases of marijuana poisonings, even without such gastrointestinal intervention, the toxicosis is not fatal.⁹ Treatment must never be more dangerous than the intoxication. Animals not badly agitated may be managed simply by a quiet, supportive, protective environment.^{6,14} Dogs experiencing acute anxiety and severe CNS stimulation can be treated with a benzodiazepine (diazepam 0.25 – 0.5 mg/kg IV) to achieve sedation.¹⁴ Chlorpromazine (0.5 -1.0 mg/kg IV) has likewise been recommended to counter acute anxiety. Intravenous fluids may be given to counter dehydration in animals that have vomited severely and also to counter hypothermia. Hypothermic individuals may require warming fluids until normal temperature has been achieved. Animals whose vomiting becomes persistent or severe may be treated with anti-emetics (maropitant at 1 mg/kg SQ every 24 hours or ondansetron at 0.1 – 0.2 mg/kg IV every 8 – 12 hours). While hospitalized, temperature, pulse rate, and respiration should be monitored every 2 hours. In addition to temperature, animals must be observed closely for respiratory depression. Recovery is dependent upon the dose ingested and may take 24 to 72 hours.^{9,14} Longer recoveries of up to 5 days are not uncommon in animals exposed to a very large dose.¹⁴

Recently, the use of intralipid therapy in cases of severe THC toxicosis has been employed and reported.⁶ Lipid therapy has been shown to be effective in treating other highly lipophilic substances in dogs and cats.^{23,24,25} Intravenous lipid given in these instances is a sterile, non-pyogenic fat emulsion which has been used previously in parenteral nutrition. In the last decade, evidence has accumulated supporting the use of intravenous lipids to reverse, or at least lessen, the effects of various lipophilic toxins.^{25,26} Exact mechanisms of action of lipid therapy in treating toxins is presently unelucidated, but it may work in several ways.²⁵ First, the lipid may create a sink for fat-soluble, highly lipophilic drugs. Intravenous lipid added to the serum is thought to “extract” lipophilic molecules from the aqueous serum into a lipid phase. This binding causes a gradient that may also facilitate movement of toxins from the interstitium thereby decreasing their tissue availability. A recent study of canine ivermectin poisoning showed a rise in serum ivermectin after each administration of intravenous lipid.²³ This finding supports the idea that poisons are moving from the interstitium into the intervacular space. It may be that lipids move directly into the interstitium and further bind with toxins. In addition, intravenous lipid therapy may also be helpful in some poisonings since they have been shown to provide free fatty acids, a major substrate of cardiac and other muscular ATP production.²⁵ In a negative sense, intravenous lipids may bind with beneficial lipophilic drugs given and take them out of circulation (the lipid sink in reverse). Despite this development, at least theoretically, administration of intravenous lipids could be expected to hasten the resolution of clinical signs, thereby reducing medical costs, and reduce time of hospitalization. Although evidence exists showing few adverse reactions to intralipid therapy, the use of lipids in humans and dogs for marijuana poisoning remains investigational. Further studies are needed to assess the efficacy of lipid therapy in cannabinoid poisoning. In certain of these toxicological instances, intravenous lipid therapy may prove to be quite useful. A summary of treatment protocol for marijuana toxicosis is included in Table 2.

PROGNOSIS AND PREVENTION

Although recovery in dogs following marijuana toxicosis may be prolonged (up to 5 days), the majority of dogs ingesting THC recover completely with no long term adverse effects.^{9,14} Severity of the poisoning is dose dependent and animals exposed to higher dosages require longer and more aggressive therapy.⁶ Dogs that ingest medical grade THC butter and food products containing the butter have been shown to be more at risk for serious intoxication and require more involved and prolonged treatment.⁶ Recovery time is closely dependent upon dose ingested. Prevention of marijuana toxicosis in dogs depends upon educating the public about the potentially hazardous effects marijuana can have on pets that ingest it. Marijuana must never be kept in a dog’s environment. Extra care must also be afforded law enforcement-drug detection dogs that may be overzealous and ingest discovered marijuana products.²⁷

HISTOLOGIC LESIONS

For the majority of animals, intoxication with marijuana is an acute, one-time event. As a result, no long term histological lesions have been described in animals poisoned by THC. In humans, where repetitive and chronic marijuana use is common, heavy marijuana smokers show a high prevalence of pulmonary immune cells. In addition, heavy marijuana smokers had a much higher incidence of bronchitis and precancerous cells in the bronchial epithelium.⁴ In rats, high doses of THC administered during pregnancy resulted in increased numbers of stillbirths, decreased litter size, decreased birth weight, and increased malformations in the offspring.^{4,28} Currently no such studies have been conducted in dogs or cats.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSES

A correct diagnosis of marijuana intoxication may be initially missed due to a purposely misleading history by the owners, the non-specific clinical signs characteristic of THC toxicosis, and the current paucity of reliable laboratory tests confirming this poisoning. Furthermore, the avenue of exposure in these cases is not always immediately evident. Potential look-alikes for marijuana toxicosis are numerous and differentials must include: opioids, LSD, phencyclidine hydrochloride (PCP), amphetamine, ethanol, tranquilizers, ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, methanol, benzodiazepines, isopropanol, acetone, macrolide parasiticides (such as ivermectin), xylitol, muscle relaxants, depressants, and hallucinogenic mushrooms.^{1,4,9,14} A list of differential diagnoses is included in Table 3.

TABLE 1
HUMAN MEDICAL CONDITIONS PROPOSED HELPED BY CANNABINDIOLS

Proposed

- Anxiety
- Depression
- Insomnia
- Epilepsy
- Head injury
- Migraine headaches
- Arthritis
- Chronic pain
- Muscle spasms
- Parkinson's disease
- Tourette syndrome

Actually approved for

- Anorexia – cachexia syndrome (HIV)
- Chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting
- Glaucoma
- Multiple sclerosis

TABLE 2
TREATMENT OF ACUTE MARIJUANA INTOXICATION

- Emesis may be induced if ingestion was within last 2 hours (apomorphine 0.04mg/kg IV).
- Activated charcoal may interrupt enterohepatic recirculation of THC and reduce its half-life.
- Intravenous fluids can be administered if animal is dehydrated secondary to vomiting and to control body temperature.
- Animals should be closely monitored during hospitalization for body temperature, respiration, and heart rate.
- Sedation may be required for animals with severe CNS stimulation, agitation, and anxiety.
(Diazepam 0.25 – 0.5 mg/kg IV)
- Antiemetic agents may be given to animals with persistent vomiting.
- In severely poisoned animals, intravenous lipid therapy may be of benefit.

TABLE 3
DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSES FOR MARIJUANA INTOXICATION

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| • Opioids | • Diethylene glycol |
| • LSD | • Methanol |
| • Phencyclidine hydrochloride (PCP) | • Isopropanol |
| • Ethanol | • Acetone |
| • Tranquilizers | • Macrolide parasitocides (Ivermectin) |
| • Benzodiazepines | • Xylitol |
| • Ethylene glycol | • Depressants |
| • Propylene glycol | • Muscle relaxants |
- Hallucinogenic mushrooms
 - Amphetamines

REFERENCES

- 1) McGuigon M: Cannabinoids. In: Goldfrank LR, Flomenbaum NE, Lewin NA, et.al. (eds): *Goldfrank's Toxicological Emergencies*. Edition 8. McGraw-Hill, New York; 2006; pp 1212-1220.
- 2) Bagshaw SM, Hagan NA: Medical efficacy of cannabinoids and marijuana: A comprehensive review of the literature. *J Palliat Care*, 2002; 18: 111-122.
- 3) Guy GW, Whittle BA, Robson PJ, (eds): *The Medicinal Uses of Cannabis and Cannabinoids*. London, Pharmaceutical Press, 2004.
- 4) Martin B, Szara S: Marijuana. In Haddad LM, Shannon MW, Winchester JF, (eds): *Clinical Management of Poisoning and Drug Overdose*. Edition 3 (1998). W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia: pp 528-541.
- 5) Warner J: Medical marijuana dispensary applications: 700 plus, earning Colorado 7 Million. *Westword* 2010.
- 6) Meola SD, Tearney CC, Haas SA, et.al: Evaluation of trends in marijuana toxicosis in dogs living in a state with legalized medical marijuana: 125 dogs (2005-2010). *Jour Vet Emer Crit Care* 22(b), 2012; pp 690-696.
- 7) Donaldson CW: Marijuana exposure in animals, *Vet Med* 2002; 6:437-439.
- 8) Voth EA, Schwartz RH. Medicinal applications of delta-9 tetrahydrocannabinol and marijuana. *Ann Intern Med*, 1997; 126(10):791.
- 9) Volmer PA: "Recreational" Drugs. In: Peterson ME, Talcott PA (eds). *Small Animal Toxicology*, Edition 3. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 2013; pp 309-334.
- 10) Janczyk P, Donaldson CW, Gwaltney S: Two hundred thirteen cases of marijuana toxicosis in dogs. *Vet Human Toxicol* 2004; 46(1): 19-21.
- 11) Marijuana and other cannabinoids. In: Ellenhorn MJ (ed): *Ellenhorn's Medical Toxicology*, Edition 2. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1997.
- 12) Synthetic drug control act of 2011, A.R. 1254. <http://www.gpo.gov/fdys/pkg/Bills-112/hr/254rh.pdf>
- 13) Thompson GR, Rosenkrantz H, Schaeppi UH, et.al: Comparison of acute oral toxicity in rats, dogs, and monkeys. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 1973; 25(3): 363.
- 14) Klatt C. Marijuana. In: Osweiler GD, Hovda LR, Brutleg AG, Lee JA, (eds): *Small Animal Toxicology*. Wiley-Blackwell; Ames, IA. 2011; pp 224 – 229.
- 15) Agurell S, Halladin M, Lindgren J: Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and other cannabinoids with emphasis on man. *Pharmacol Rev*, 1986; 38:21-43.
- 16) Editorial Staff: Marijuana (Kinetics). In: Klasco RK (ed): *POISONDEX System*. Thomson Microdex, Greenwood Village, CO, vol 121, expires Sept 2004.
- 17) Amari A: The effects of cannabinoids on the brain. *Prog Neurobio*, 1999; 58:315-348.

- 18) Sugiura T, Waki K: Cannabinoid receptors and their endogenous ligands. *J Biochem*, 2002; 132: 7-12.
- 19) Felder CC, Glass M: Cannabinoid receptors and their endogenous agonists. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*, 1998; 38:179-200.
- 20) Green B, Kavanaugh D, Young R: Being stoned: A review of self-reported cannabis effects. *Drug Alcohol Rev*, 2003; 22:453-460.
- 21) Fitzgerald KT: Taking a toxicological history. In: Peterson ME, Talcott PA (eds): *Small Animal Toxicology*, Edition 3. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 2013; pp 39-43.
- 22) Chang AE, Shilling DJ, Stillman RC: Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol as an antiemetic in cancer patients receiving high dose methotrexate: A prospective randomized evaluation. *Ann Intern Med*, 1979; 91:819-824.
- 23) Crandall DE, Weinberg GC: Moxidectin toxicosis in a puppy successfully treated with intravenous lipids. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care*, 2009; 19(2):181-186.
- 24) O'Brien TQ, Clark-Brice SC, Evans EE, et.al: Infusion of a lipid emulsion to treat lidocaine intoxication in a cat. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*, 2010; 237(12):1455-1458.
- 25) Haworth MD, Smart L: Use of intravenous lipid therapy in three cases of feline permethrin toxicosis. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care*, 22(6), 2012; pp 697-702.
- 26) Rosenblatt MA, Abel M, Fischer GW, et.al: Successful use of a 20% intralipid emulsion after a presumed bupivacaine related cardiac arrest. *Anesthesiology*, 2006; 105:217-218.
- 27) Llera RM, Volmer PA: Toxicologic hazards for police dogs involved in drug detection. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*, 2006; 228(7):1028-1031.
- 28) Wenger T, Croix D, Tramu G, Leonardelli J: Effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol on pregnancy, puberty, and the neuroendocrine system. In: Murphy L, Batke A (eds): *Marijuana/Cannabinoids: Neurobiology and Neurophysiology*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992; pp 539-560.